Mr. Wulf Grote, P.E.
Director, Planning and Development
Valley Metro
101 North First Avenue, Suite 1300
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Re: Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for the Proposed Tempe Streetcar Project

Dear Mr. Grote,

Based on our review of the Environmental Assessment, dated August 5, 2015, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the proposed Tempe Streetcar project. A copy of the FONSI is enclosed.

The FONSI and supporting documentation should be made available to affected government agencies and the public and should be posted on the project website. A Notice of Availability for the FONSI should be published in local newspapers and should also be provided directly to affected government agencies, including State intergovernmental review contacts established under Executive Order 12372.

Please note that the standard terms and conditions of the grant contract will require Valley Metro to undertake the mitigation actions identified in the Environmental Assessment and FONSI.

Thank you for your cooperation in meeting the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. If you have any questions about our review, please contact Dominique Paukowits, Community Planner, at (415) 744-2735 or dominique.paukowits@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Leslie T. Rogers
Regional Administrator

Enclosure
Finding of No Significant Impact

Grant Applicant: Valley Metro

Project: Tempe Streetcar

Project Location: City of Tempe, Maricopa County, Arizona


Description of the Project

Valley Metro in coordination with the City of Tempe proposes to construct the Tempe Streetcar Project. The Project is an approximately three-mile long streetcar line that connects the emerging commercial district of Rio Salado Parkway along the Tempe Town Lake waterfront with Downtown Tempe and Arizona State University’s (ASU’s) main campus along Apache Boulevard to the Dorsey/Apache Boulevard light rail station. The Project includes fourteen stops: three stops on Rio Salado Parkway (at Marina Heights, Hayden Ferry and Tempe Beach), three stops on Ash Avenue (at 3rd Street, 5th, University Drive), four stops on Mill Avenue (3rd Street, 6th Street, 9th Street and 11th Street), and four stops on Apache Boulevard (College Avenue, McAllister Avenue, Rural Road, and Dorsey). The streetcar would operate bi-directionally on Rio Salado Parkway between the new Marina Heights development near Packard Drive and the intersection of Mill Avenue and Rio Salado Parkway. The streetcar would then operate in a one-way loop around Downtown Tempe, counter-clockwise west on Rio Salado Parkway, south on Ash Avenue and east on University Drive to Mill Avenue. Trains would then turn south to travel bi-directionally on Mill Avenue to 11th Street near ASU’s Gammage Auditorium. The bi-directional trackway would then follow the roadway curve around the southwestern perimeter of Gammage Auditorium, turning onto Apache Boulevard and continuing in an east-to-west direction, eventually terminating just south of the Dorsey/Apache Boulevard light rail station.

The Project intends to use electric streetcar vehicles. Streetcar vehicles will be powered by an overhead catenary system (overhead power lines). Streetcar vehicle lengths typically range from 66 to 82 feet, with passenger capacities of 125 to 150 persons. The streetcar system will operate with a single car and primarily share the existing auto travel lanes, with the exception of Rio Salado Parkway, Ash Avenue, University Drive and a portion of Mill Avenue south of University Drive, where it will operate in either exclusive or semi-exclusive guideway. Where streetcars operate in exclusive guideway automobile traffic will not be allowed to drive on the guideway. Where tracks share guideway with vehicle lanes, traffic will be allowed to travel on the guideway. In some locations the streetcar will share the left-turn lanes with auto traffic.
The existing number of traffic lanes would be maintained with two exceptions: 1) Mill Avenue between University Drive and 11th Street and 2) Ash Avenue between Rio Salado Parkway and University Drive. Along Mill Avenue between University Drive and 11th Street, the existing three southbound through lanes would be reduced to two lanes and a southbound bicycle lane would be added. An additional northbound through lane would be added to provide a total of two northbound through lanes and a bicycle lane. At Mill Avenue and 10th Street, the left-turn lane would be removed. On Ash Avenue between Rio Salado Parkway and University Drive, the existing two southbound through lanes would be reduced to one lane and the southbound bicycle lane would be moved adjacent to the remaining southbound through lane.

The Project requires the minor right-of-way (ROW) acquisition, which will not entail the demolition of any buildings or displacement of any residences or businesses. ROW would be necessary to accommodate some streetcar stops, traction power substations (TPSSs) and signal buildings. The TPSSs would be spaced approximately 1 mile apart from one another to provide electrical power for streetcar vehicles and special trackwork. Signal buildings are used to electronically activate special trackwork switches, allowing the streetcar to switch from one track to another. The existing Valley Metro Operations and Maintenance center will be used to maintain and store the streetcars.

Alternatives Considered

The EA evaluated two alternatives: a No-Build Alternative, which describes future transportation facilities and services in 2035 if the Project is not built, and a Build Alternative, which is the Project described above.

The No-Build Alternative is defined as the existing transit and roadway/highway system plus programmed (committed) transportation improvement projects as part of the Maricopa County Association of Governments (MAG) Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and corresponding Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) by 2035, along with any city-programmed transportation or transportation infrastructure improvements. Under the No-Build Alternative, the Project corridor would remain in its current state for the foreseeable future, and no construction would occur other than what has been previously programmed.

Public Review

The EA was circulated for a 30-day public review from August 19, 2015 until September 18, 2015. Hard copies were made available for viewing at the Valley Metro office and the Tempe Public Library. The EA was also available on the Valley Metro website at http://www.valleymetro.org/projects_and_planning/project_detail/tempe_streetcar/.

A public open house meeting was held at the Tempe Transportation Center on September 2, 2015. During the review period, five comments were received via email and eight written submissions were received at the public meeting. No comments were received from federal, state, or local agencies or Native American groups. A list of comments received during the public comment period and responses to those comments are included in Attachment 1.

Environmental Impacts

served as the federal lead agency under NEPA. The EA concluded that construction and operation of the Project, with the incorporation of mitigation and avoidance measures, would not result in a significant impact on the environment. This conclusion applies to all applicable environmental elements, including air quality and greenhouse gas emissions, biological resources, cultural and archaeological resources, energy, hazardous materials, water quality, land use, noise and vibration, safety and security, Section 4(f) resources, socioeconomics and environmental justice, traffic and transportation and visual resources.

The EA addressed the Project’s compliance with all applicable statutory, regulatory, and federal requirements, as outlined below.

**Air Quality Conformity.** The Project satisfies the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) transportation conformity requirements for air quality under 40 CFR part 93. The Project is included in the amended MAG 2035 RTP and Fiscal Year 2014–2018 TIP that were adopted by the MAG Regional Council in June 2015 and were found conforming by FTA and the Federal Highway Administration in July 2015. A carbon monoxide hot-spot analysis was also completed for the Project as documented in Section 3.7.3.1. The Project involves an electrically-powered streetcar line. The Project would not cause or contribute to any new violation of any standard in any area; increase the frequency or severity of any existing violation of any standard in any area or delay timely attainment of any standard or any required interim emission reductions or other milestones in any area. The Project was determined not to be a project of air quality concern per 40 CFR § 93.123(b)(1). FTA finds that the Project would not result in a significant impact on air quality.

**National Historic Preservation Act Compliance.** In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. 300101 et seq.), and its implementing regulations at (36 CFR Part 800), FTA, in coordination with Valley Metro and the City of Tempe Historic Preservation Office, defined an area of potential effect (APE). The State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) concurred with the delineation of the APE on June 5, 2015. No ROW acquisitions would occur on contributing properties in historic districts or on individual properties listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. As discussed in Section 3.10.3 of the EA, the Project includes measures to minimize and avoid effects to historic resources.

FTA and Valley Metro initiated consultation with Native American groups and other parties in April 2008 and provided an update in 2015. Native American tribes were provided opportunities to share information or concerns regarding potential impacts on prehistoric sites, sacred sites and/or traditional cultural properties consistent with 36 CFR 36 § 800.2 and 36 CFR § 800.4. No consulting party objected to the finding or provided any comments on the EA. On October 23, 2015, SHPO concurred with FTA’s determination that, with the measures taken to minimize and avoid effects to historic resources, the Project would result in no adverse effect to historic and archaeological resources (See Attachment 2).

**Section 4(f) Compliance.** As discussed in Section 3.11 of the EA, the Project would be located within the vicinity of a locally significant park and other historic and archeological resources that qualify as Section 4(f) resources under Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966, codified in 49 USC Section 303, or its implementing regulations at 49 C.F.R. part 774. The Project would be located primarily in the existing ROW and would not result in the acquisition or conversion of any portion of a Section 4(f) property to a transportation use. FTA
finds the Project would not result in a use, constructive use, or temporary occupancy of Section 4(f) protected parks, recreation areas, or refuges or historic resources.

**Executive Order 13609 and 11988: Floodplain Management.** The Project, although adjacent to Tempe Town Lake, is not located within the 100-year flood zones and, therefore, no modifications to the established 100-year floodplain will result from implementation of the Project. The alignment is located in an area already developed with impervious surfaces as well as well-developed drainage infrastructure and will not increase the risk of flooding. FTA finds there would be no significant impact to the 100-year floodplain from the Project.

**Endangered Species Act Compliance.** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) was contacted to determine whether federally listed threatened or endangered species are known to occur in the Project area. Bald eagles, which have been delisted from the endangered species list but are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Act, are known to be present in the Project vicinity. In coordination with USFWS, it was determined that no suitable habitat for any threatened or endangered species exists within the Project area. FTA finds that implementing the Project would not result in a significant impact to federally-listed threatened or endangered species.

**Executive Order 12898 (Environmental Justice) Compliance.** The potential for disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations were evaluated in the EA in accordance with Executive Order 12898, the U.S. Department of Transportation Order 5610.2(a) and FTA’s Environmental Justice Circular 4703.1. Environmental justice populations occur throughout the study area. Effects resulting from construction and operations related to right-of-way, traffic, air quality and noise would occur equally in all neighborhoods adjacent to the alignment. Mitigation measures to reduce these effects are identified in Table ES-2 in the Executive Summary and discussed in Chapter 3 of the EA. The communities near the Project corridor are anticipated to benefit from increased transit accessibility and decreased congestion on many local streets, improved air quality, and improved connectivity and travel times between neighborhoods and businesses within the study area. FTA finds that the Project would not have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority populations or low-income.

**Environmental Finding**

In accordance with 23 CFR 771.121(a), FTA finds, based on the analysis, reviews, concurrence letters from applicable resource agencies, and mitigation measures described in the EA, that no significant impacts to the environment would result from the implementation of the Project. Valley Metro shall implement the mitigation measures and measures to avoid and minimize environmental impacts, as listed in Table ES-2 in the Executive Summary and discussed in Chapter 3 of the EA.

Date: _OCT 27 2015_

Leslie T. Rogers  
Regional Administrator  
Federal Transit Administration, Region IX
Attachments
Attachment 1: Comments and Responses to Comments on the Environmental Assessment
Attachment 2: Relevant Correspondence
## Public Comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment and Valley Metro Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Comments</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valley Metro Response to Comment</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Valley Metro Response to Comment

---

Thank you for your comments. I am happy to respond to the comments.

I understand the need for an Environmental Assessment (EA) to be prepared in accordance with Section 12 of the Environmental Assessment Act. The EA, which will be made publicly available in the future, will assess the environmental impacts of the project.

Valley Metro is committed to ensuring that the project is developed in an environmentally sustainable manner. Thank you for your feedback. We will consider it carefully.

---

### Personal Information

For the community, the EA was not available online as promised. Please send me a copy of the Environmental Assessment Report.

---

The table below provides a response to each comment received. The Valley Metro received do not require any revisions to the EA. The EA will remain on the public open house meeting website (www.valleymetro.gov) for public review and comment.

---

Public notice for the public open house meeting is available on the Valley Metro website (www.valleymetro.gov). The EA will be available for public review and comment.

---

The City of LeMarge, in accordance with the Environmental Assessment Act, has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the LeMarge Streetcar Project.

---

**Attachment I**
However, we all know that is not true. Nothing is free. People probably pay a lot of money to solve problems, especially federal funds. I am sick of paying for these improvements. I understand that of Temple has experienced a lot of growth, but Temple remains a small, rural town. The proposed project would not only benefit the Valley, but also the region. The purpose of the project is to improve the roadways with dedicated lanes for buses and other public transportation. This would not only benefit the Valley, but also the region.

I just received a notice about a new Temple Street can to be constructed and begin operation in downtown Temple. I believe this is a great way to expand the downtown area and attract more businesses. I hope the project will be successful.

From: Sarah Smith
Sent: 8/27/2015

I encourage Temple residents to support the Temple Street project and think it would be a great way to improve the downtown area.

Hi, just a quick comment re: Temple Street project. I very much support the Temple Street project and think it would be a great way to improve the downtown area.

From: John Doe
Sent: 8/28/2015

I agree with the improvements proposed for Temple Street and think it will benefit the downtown area. I hope the project will be successful.
As part of the Planning Process, consideration of an amendment on the

receive public input and feedback.

groups, meetings with key stakeholders and several public meetings to
determine the project’s feasibility and support for the project.

Section 2 of Chapter 2 of this EA discusses the alternatives considered in

The existing space is located in the community’s downtown area.

Section 1.2 of the EA provides information on why higher-capacity transit

The City of Tempe requested directly to the community presented by John

The report can be found on the front page of the comments

Please feel free to contact us if you have any other questions.

Chapter 1 for a clear understanding of the purpose and need for the project.

There will be a meeting to discuss the project, including the downtown area.

The new East Valley Transit Center is expected to open in the

Chapter 2 discusses the alternative routes and other local bus

The project’s feasibility was determined through a public meeting, and the project

The development of the proposed master plan is a critical step in
determining the feasibility of the project.

This rule

Please be aware that the City of Tempe will be conducting

I fully support the project and the new East Valley Transit Center.

I like the idea of the transit station but do not like how it is going to

Stop the speculation!
Communications' comments have also been shared with the City of Temple.

...NEPA, if applicable, would be necessary. If an expression is posted in the...would be necessary. If an expression is posted in the...

The Temple Public Library is served by the Library's branch service, the...Development concept in the downtown core and Adjacent area...The Temple Public Library is served by the Library's branch service, the...

Section 2, Chapter 7 provides an overview of the alternatives considered for...Section 2, Chapter 7 provides an overview of the alternatives considered for...
### Comments on the Temple Street Project

**E. Provide a discussion of the project costs and sources of funding:**

Section 2.1 of Chapter 2 of the existing EA provides a description of the project costs and sources of funding. The costs include:
- **Construction Costs:** $250 million
- **Operation Costs:** $30 million

The cost estimates are based on a detailed analysis of the project's needs and are subject to change based on further studies.

**F. Request for Public Comment:**

The City is seeking comments on the Temple Street Project. Please provide your comments by May 30, 2023.

**G. Public Hearing:**

A public hearing will be held on June 1, 2023, at 7 PM at City Hall. All interested parties are encouraged to attend and provide their feedback.

**H. Next Steps:**

The project is scheduled to begin in July 2023, with construction expected to be completed by December 2024. The project will be funded through a combination of federal and local grants, as well as private investments.

---

**Table: Temple Street Project Costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$250M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>$30M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table: Project Milestones**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design Completion</td>
<td>June 30, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Start</td>
<td>July 1, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion Date</td>
<td>December 31, 2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Questions to Consider:**

1. What are the potential environmental impacts of the Temple Street Project?
2. How will the project affect local traffic patterns?
3. What is the expected return on investment for the project?
[Image of a page from a document with text and diagrams]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment made by</th>
<th>Building this street will put Temple AZ on development map</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dan Coleman</td>
<td><img src="Image1.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valleymetro has conducted many studies and developed the project in partnership with the city and the state to address impacts as a result of implementing the station project.

The build alternative includes dimensions where there is no existing roadway, the roadway will be widened. Figures 2-2 through 2-11 show cross sections of the existing roadway, where there is an existing roadway, Figures 2-12 through 2-17 show cross sections of the proposed roadway, and Figures 2-18 through 2-21 show cross sections of the Tollway Alternative. The figures are shown for visual reference to the reader.

Issues with the bike crossing the rail will have to be accommodated on the rail. The proposed improvements on the crossing where there is an existing roadway will be accommodated on the rail. The proposed improvements on the crossing where there is no existing roadway will be accommodated on the rail.

Thank you for your interest in the car design for the Temple Street Station. Valley Metro will be having more information on the vehicle design during the public meetings. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact us.

Issues with the bike crossing the rail will have to be accommodated on the rail. The proposed improvements on the crossing where there is an existing roadway will be accommodated on the rail. The proposed improvements on the crossing where there is no existing roadway will be accommodated on the rail.

Thank you for your interest in the car design for the Temple Street Station. Valley Metro will be having more information on the vehicle design during the public meetings. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact us.
Dominique,

Tempe responded to comment #3 by email and not by formal letter. Below is the email comment that we received and the response that Tempe provided. Please let me know if you need this in a different format.

Thank you,

Robert Forrest
Environmental Program Manager
Valley Metro
101 N. 1st Ave.
Suite 1300
Phoenix, AZ 85003
602-322-4514
rforrest@valleymetro.org
www.valleymetro.org

Travel with Valley Metro on:

-----Original Message-----
From: Kurtenbach, Anne [mailto:Anne.Kurtenbach@hdrinc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 3:11 PM
To: More, Thomas; Forrest, Robert
Subject: FW: Tempe Street Car

Fyi...response from Tempe.

-----Original Message-----
From: Taaffe, Sue [mailto:sue_taaffe@tempe.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 2:59 PM
To: 'branden.reeves@gmail.com'
Cc: Nelson, Amanda; Seyler, Shelly; Steere, Howard; Kurtenbach, Anne; Iwersen, Eric; Warner, Shauna
Subject: RE: Tempe Street Car

Branden,
Thank you for the email.
Transit options are important to Tempe residents and streetcar would add another mobility choice to serve the denser, high-traffic areas in central Tempe and connect to the Valley’s regional transit system. The project, similar to the Tempe Town Lake and Valley Metro rail projects, has the potential to be a long-term investment that can transform Tempe to serve existing and planned high-density development and the need for efficient transportation while improving overall quality of life in the community.

You are correct that a portion of the Tempe Streetcar project will be paid for by federal money, but it will also be paid for with voter-approved Prop 400 and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds. All of the funding is required to be used for transportation related projects and cannot be used for other areas like educational bonds or other non-transportation related debts the city of Tempe has accumulated. Annual operations are estimated to cost about $4 million with funding identified annually in the city’s Transit Tax Fund beginning in 2018. The city’s Transit Tax is a half-cent dedicated sales tax for transit use only. It was approved by Tempe voters in 1996.

The Orbit Venus route carries about 30,000 passengers a month. Oftentimes, the majority of the people using the Orbit system take it during rush hour and may not take it from one end of the route to the other, but instead get on and off in downtown Tempe and ride for a few blocks or get on Orbit in the neighborhood and take Orbit to downtown Tempe. This is why you may not see a lot of people on it given where you live along the route.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any other questions.

Sincerely,

Eric Iwersen
City of Tempe
Principal Planner
480-350-8810
eric_iwersen@tempe.gov

Anne Kurtenbach
Community Outreach Coordinator
Valley Metro
D 602.523-6008 | C 602.315.9159
akurtenbach@valleymetro.org

-----Original Message-----
From: GMAIL [mailto:branden.reeves@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2015 10:40 AM
To: Kurtenbach, Anne
Subject: Tempe Street Car

Hello,

I just received a notice about a New Tempe street car to be constructed and begin operation in Downtown Tempe. I believe this is a gigantic waste of tax payer money. I own a home at 706 S Beck Ave. I see buses consistently on the roadways with little to no passengers on them.

This idea to me seems like another attempt to spend money for which the public does not have. The general cost and maintenance of these street cars, plus the labor costs could easily be better spent towards paying down past educational bonds and other debts the city of Tempe has accumulated.

I am sick of paying for these "Improvements." I understand that Tempe probably gets a lot of so called "Free" federal funds. However, we all know that is not true. Nothing is free!
Stop the spending!

Respectfully,

Branden Reeves
Tempe Property Owner and "Taxpayer"
Dear Mr. Garrison:

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA), in coordination with Valley Metro and the City of Tempe, is continuing consultation with Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the Tempe Streetcar Project. The SHPO previously concurred with the delineation of the Area of Potential Effect (APE) on July 26, 2011 and the finding of effect and historic property eligibility determinations on May 14, 2012. Since that time, the project alignment had been revised. As a result, the APE was revised and additional properties have been evaluated for eligibility to the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). FTA re-initiated consultation with SHPO on the revised APE and received concurrence on June 5, 2015. In accordance with 36 CFR § 800.4, this letter requests your concurrence on the additional eligibility recommendations and determination of “no adverse effect” to historic resources.

Enclosed for your review are two reports: Inventory and Evaluation of Historic Resources and the Assessment of Archaeological Resources.

Overview of the Proposed Undertaking
The original streetcar project was a 2.6-mile alignment that ran from Downtown to Southern Avenue. In the Downtown area (north of University Drive), the streetcar line would traverse a one-way, counter-clockwise loop north on Mill Avenue, west on Rio Salado Parkway, south on Ash Avenue, and east on University Drive until again turning south on Mill Avenue where it would resume a double-track operation to its terminus at Southern Avenue.

Since 2012, Valley Metro and the City of Tempe re-evaluated the project and revised the Build Alternative to include extensions east on Rio Salado Parkway and east on Apache Boulevard. The segment from Apache Boulevard to Southern Avenue was eliminated. The current Build Alternative is a 3.1-mile streetcar alignment that would begin on Rio Salado Parkway at the Marina Heights development near Packard Drive and run west to Ash Avenue, south on Ash to University Drive, east on University Drive to Mill Avenue, south on Mill Avenue to Apache Boulevard to its terminus at the Dorsey light rail station. The alignment maintains the downtown loop consisting of Rio Salado Parkway, Ash Avenue, University Drive, and Mill Avenue.
The proposed streetcar system would operate with a single car and would generally share the existing auto travel lanes. The project would use the existing Operations and Maintenance Center for the maintenance and storage of light rail vehicles for the Valley Metro light rail system.

Public Outreach and Consultation
The FTA initiated consultation with the Native American tribes in January 2008 during the alternatives analysis phase of the project and re-initiated consultation in January 2015. The Hopi Tribe and the Gila River Indian Community requested to continue consultation and to be provided a copy of the historic resources and archaeological reports for their review. Valley Metro also made presentations to the Tempe Historic Preservation Commission and received input that helped with the development of the historic resources and archaeological reports. In addition, Valley Metro held two project scoping meetings in April 2011 and in December 2014 and held a public meeting on September 2, 2015 during the public circulation of the project’s Environmental Assessment. No comments were received on historic or archaeological resources.

Survey Results and Determinations of Eligibility
The 2012 inventory and evaluation of properties within the APE documented 76 historic properties and nine historic districts, of which 43 individual historic properties and three historic districts (Gage Addition, Park Tract, and University Park) fall within the current project’s APE for the proposed Build Alternative. With the revised APE, a survey within the modified portions of the project APE was conducted and found no additional properties or districts listed in the NRHP. FTA has determined that the following additional properties within the revised APE meet the criteria for listing on the NRHP (See page 59 of the Inventory and Evaluation of Historic Resources Report):

- Sun Devil Stadium (500 E. Veterans Way), Eligible under Criterion A
- Charles Hayden Hall (250 E. Apache Way), Eligible under Criteria A and C
- Best Hall (1215 S. Forest Avenue), Eligible under Criteria A and C
- Irish Hall (1201 S. Forest Avenue), Eligible under Criteria A and C

In total, the inventory and research from both studies identified 47 buildings and three districts within the APE, including 13 individual properties and one historic district previously listed on the NRHP. A summary of the listed, eligible, and recommended eligible properties may be found in Tables 1, 2, and 3 of the Inventory and Evaluation of Historic Resources Report.

The previous inventory and evaluation of archaeological sites conducted in 2012 documented 11 archaeological sites within the original APE of the Build Alternative. Of these 11 sites, only ten sites occur within the revised APE. Two additional archaeological sites were identified within the revised APE that were previously determined eligible for the NRHP:

- AZ U:9:165 (ASM), La Plaza site, Eligible under Criterion D
- AZ U:9:299 (ASM), Phoenix & Eastern Railroad, Eligible under Criterion A and D

In total, the inventory and research from both studies identified 12 archaeological sites within the APE. Eleven of these sites were previously determined eligible for the NRHP. The twelfth site is the original settlement of Hayden’s Ferry and has been assigned an Arizona State Museum (ASM) site number, although it was never defined archaeologically and evaluated (See Section 4.0, page 15 of the Assessment of Archaeological Resources). A summary table of the
archaeological sites documented within the APE is provided in the *Assessment of Archaeological Resources* (page iii).

In accordance with 36 CFR § 800.4, the FTA is requesting your concurrence with finding that the four properties listed above are eligible for the NRHP.

**Finding of Effect**
FTA applied the Criteria of Adverse Effect per 36 CFR 800.5(a) and has determined that the undertaking would result in “no adverse effect” on historic properties and districts. The Build Alternative is nearly all within the existing street curbs with the exception of minimal right-of-way acquisitions to accommodate a few streetcar stops and the traction power substations (TPSS) facilities which provide electric power to operate the streetcar. None of these acquisitions would be on contributing properties in historic districts or on individual properties listed on or eligible for listing on the NRHP. The project features, including traffic and pedestrian signals and stations, will not introduce structures taller than existing buildings and street features. Therefore, these new features would not introduce an adverse visual effect or disruption of the historic setting. Therefore, there would be no adverse effects to historic resources.

The project will require construction of TPSSs (final number and locations will be confirmed during final design) near or adjacent to the historic properties and/or districts at the following locations:

- Approximately 400 feet from the Hayden Flour Mill and potentially two others between 726 and 557 feet from Sun Devil Stadium.
- Adjacent to the historic district (University Park) and approximately 120 feet from the Park Tract Historic District and the Tempe Women’s Club (west side of Mill Avenue north of 13th Street).
- Adjacent to the Gage Addition Historic District (west side of Mill Avenue north of 9th Street).

Appropriate shielding, such as screen walls or vegetation that fits into the existing character of the surrounding area, would be provided for the TPSSs on properties next to historic properties. With the implementation of appropriate shielding for each of the TPSSs, the Build Alternative would have no adverse visual impacts to these historic properties.

Several historic properties are located where potential noise or vibration impacts have been identified. These properties include:

- Four single-family residences in the 1100 block of Mill Avenue
- Hayden Residence Hall (250 East Apache Boulevard)
- Four single-family residences near University Drive and Mill Avenue within the Gage Addition Historic District
- University Inn on Mill Avenue and Mullen House on Mill Avenue

Friction control would be included in the design of the project to reduce the occurrence of wheel squeal, reducing the predicted noise levels to below the FTA moderate noise impact threshold at these sites. The TPSSs’s cooling fans would be oriented toward Mill Avenue, away from historic residences. Installation of low-impact frogs for the special trackwork would reduce the predicted
vibration levels to below the FTA impact threshold and avoid impacts to these resources. Therefore, the Build Alternative would not result in noise or vibration impacts to historic resources.

Although no adverse vibration impacts are anticipated as a result of the streetcar construction or operation, documentation of the existing conditions of the Hayden House adobe building would occur prior to construction to create a baseline for monitoring potential future architectural or structural changes. The survey would include inspection of the building foundation, photographs of preconstruction conditions, and documentation of any existing cracks.

Regarding archeological resources, construction of the Build Alternative would occur within the boundaries of four NRHP-eligible archaeological sites:

1. AZ U:9:115(ASM), Terraced Butte site
2. AZ U:9:165(ASM), La Plaza site
3. AZ U:9:189(ASM), Hayden Canal
4. AZ U:9:190(ASM), Hayden Blacksmith and Wagon Shop

Previous data recovery efforts have occurred at the Terraced Butte site, the Hayden Canal site, and the Hayden Blacksmith and Wagon Shop site. Therefore, the project will have no adverse effects to these resources.

A plan will be developed for the Build Alternative that defines the methods and procedures to be used for the monitoring and for any unanticipated discovery situations. In particular, a qualified archaeologist will monitor the following two locations in areas where ground disturbing activities exceed a depth of 3 feet so that any discoveries can be documented and properly managed:

1. Apache Boulevard, East of Rural Road. Segment is within the La Plaza site, which has not been archaeologically investigated.
2. 1st Street, Ash Avenue and Mill Avenue between 1st and 5th streets. Segments are within the original settlement of Hayden’s Ferry, which has been documented through historical records but not defined archaeologically.

In accordance with 36 CFR § 800.4, the FTA is requesting your concurrence with the historic property eligibility determinations discussed above and the determination no adverse of effect.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Dominique M. Paukowits, FTA Region IX Community Planner at (415)744-2735 or dominique.paukowits@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Leslie T. Rogers
Regional Administrator
Enclosures:
- Inventory and Evaluation of Historic Resources
- Assessment of Archaeological Resources

c: Robert Forrest, Environmental Program Manager, Valley Metro
   John Southard, Tempe Historic Preservation Office, City of Tempe